%JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

Case 2:07-cv-00075-LP Document 1 Filed 01/05/07 Page 1 of 13 CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS S.B. and N.B. as Parents and Natural Guardians of M.B. (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Delaware (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		PSÍ Services, Inc. Services of Delaw County of Residence	DEFENDANTS City of Philadelphia, Department of Human Services; PSI Services, Inc.; County of Delaware; and Children and Youth Services of Delaware County County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
Shaffer & Gaier, LL0	Address, and Telephone Number) C 946, Philadelphia, PA 19103	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF Citizen of This State	DEF 1			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In a	Another State		
W. W. WIRE OF CO.		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	06 06		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 340 Marine Product Liability 340 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 351 Truth in Lending 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other X 440 Other Civil Rights	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUTTS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
X 1 Original D 2 R	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are	Reinstated or anoth Reopened (spec	nal statutes unless diversity):	n Judgment		
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		JURY DEMAND DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		ORNEY OF RECORD	NOTE IN THE PARTY OF THE PARTY			
	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		

Case 2:07-cv-00075-LP_Document 1 Filed 01/05/07 Page 2 of 13

APPENDIX F

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Defendant: MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS - SEE ATTACHED	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: PHILADELPHIA	de For Additional Space)
**************************************	**************************************
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporate par	= 17
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.	P. 7.1(a))_ Yes□ No A
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No X
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following question:	s:
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or with	hin one year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No□
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as	
action in this court?	Yes□ No□
 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or an 	y earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□
CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 	 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. ☐ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
 Jones Act-Personal Injury 	 Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	 □ Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	 Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	 Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. X Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
 All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 	
ARBITRATION	CERTIFICATION
(Check appropriate Counsel of record do hereby certify:	priate Category)
X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my kn	owledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	,]
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	/ / /
DATE: /- 5 . 67	60191
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury or	nly if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pen except as noted above.	ding or within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE:	
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In Re: S.B. and N.B. as Parents and Natural Guardians of M.B. vs. City of Philadelphia, Dept. Of Human Services, et al.

List of Defendant Addresses

City of Philadelphia, Department of Human Services 1515 Arch Street Philadelphia PA 19103

and

PSI Services, Inc. 7101 Wisconsin Avenue #1400 Bethesda, MD 20814-4864

and

County of Delaware 201 West Trenton Street Media, PA 19063

and

Children and Youth Services of Delaware County 201 West Trenton Street Media, PA 19063

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

S.B. and N.B. as Parents and Natural

Guardians of M.B.

CIVIL ACTION

Case 2:07-cv-00075-LP_Document 1_Tiled 01/05/07 Page 5 of 13

APPENDIX F

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Defendant: MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS - SEE ATTACHED				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: PHILADELPHIA (Use Reverse Sid.)	For Additional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corpo	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	ration owni	no 10% or more of its stock	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.l			No X	
(Attach two copies of the Discrosure Statement Point in accordance with 1 co. s. c. v. a	/.1(a)),	103-		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		Yes□	No X	
Case Number: Judge	_ Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or with	one year previously terminated	action in th	is court?	
			No□	
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as	prior suit pending or within one		_	
action in this court?		Yes□	No□	
 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any 	arlier numbered case pending or	within one	year previously	
terminated action in this court?		Yes□	No□	
CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Juris	diction Case	25:	
 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 	 Insurance C 	Contract and	Other Contracts	
2. ☐ FELA	2. Airplane Po	2. Airplane Personal Injury		
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, De	 ☐ Assault, Defamation 		
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Per	4. Marine Personal Injury		
5. Patent	5. Motor Vch	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Perso	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)		
7. X Civil Rights	7. Products L	iability		
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products L	iability — A	sbestos	
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other D	iversity Cas	ses	
0. Social Security Review Cases	(Please spec	ify)		
11. All other Federal Question Cases				
(Please specify)				
ARBITRATION				
(Check approp Michael D. Shaffer, Esquire , counsel of record do hereby certify:	ate Category)			
X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my kno	ledge and belief, the damages r	ecoverable i	in this civil action case	
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	//			
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	/			
DATE:	<u> </u>		0191	
Attorney-at-Law		A	ttorney I.D.#	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury or	if there has been compliant	ance with	F.R.C.P. 38.	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pen except as noted above.	ng or within one year previous	sly termina	ted action in this court	
DATE:				
Attorney-at-Law		Attorney	/ I.D.#	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

S.B. and N.B

as Parents and Natural Guardians of

M.B.

7th Third Street :

Fernwood, PA 19050

Plaintiffs,

vs. : NO.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA :

DEPARTMENT OF

HUMAN SERVICES

1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia PA 19103

and

PSI SERVICES, INC.

7101 Wisconsin Avenue :

#1400 :

Bethesda, MD 20814-4864 :

and

and :

COUNTY OF DELAWARE : 201 West Trenton Street :

Media, PA 19063

and .

and :

CHILDREN AND YOUTH SERVICES :

OF DELAWARE COUNTY :

201 West Trenton Street

Media, PA 19063 Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, S.B. and N.B parents and natural guardians of M.B, by through their attorneys,

hereby makes this claim against the Defendants as follows:

PARTIES

- Plaintiffs, S. B. and N.B., are the parents and natural guardians of M.B. MB resides at 7th Third Street, Fernwood, PA 19050. This complaint is being filed with an anonymous designation of the injured party and her natural parents in order to protect their privacy interests particularly the minor M.B who was physically, emotionally and sexually abused and assaulted as a result of the defendants conduct as alleged herein.
- Defendant, City of Philadelphia, is a municipal corporation of the Commonwealth
 of Pennsylvania with offices located at 1515 Arch Street Philadelphia PA 19102. This Defendant
 provided social services to children in Philadelphia though its Department of Human Services
 (hereinafter DHS).
- The Philadelphia Department of Human Services is an agency of the City of Philadelphia with offices located at 1515 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102.
- Defendant, County of Delaware is a municipal corporation and/or political subdivision in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with principle offices located at 201 West Front Street, Media PA.
- Children and Youth Services of Delaware County is an agency of Delaware
 County with a principle place of business at 201 West Front Street, Media PA.
- 6. Defendant, PSI Services, Inc. ("PSI") is a Maryland corporation, partnership and or business entity with a principle place of business located at 7101 Wisconsin Avenue, #1400, Bethesda, MD 20814-4864. PSI contracts with governmental agencies to provide certain social services throughout Philadelphia and Delaware County.

7. At all times material hereto, the various agents, servants and/or employees of the defendants were acting under color of state law, within the course and scope of their employment, and in furtherance of and pursuant to defendants' official policies.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has jurisdiction over this claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. §1331 as this
 matter involves a Federal question, namely Plaintiffs' civil rights as protected and guaranteed by
 the United States Constitution.
- 9. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania as Plaintiff/parents and the minor child, MB, reside in this district, defendants regularly conduct business with this district and the actions of the defendants giving rise to this Complaint occurred within the district.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- MB was born on October 26, 1990.
- 11. MB was taken from her home and out of the custody of her natural parents SB and NB by the Delaware County, Children and Youth Services of Delaware County, City of Philadelphia and/or DHS and placed into a foster home.
 - MB was placed into two foster homes in Philadelphia.
- While at the foster homes, Robert Heath, her foster brother was also residing at the foster homes.
 - 14. While she lived in the foster homes, Robert Heath raped MB on several occasions.
- MB was also molested by Robert Heath and forced her to perform oral and vaginal sex.
 - 16. MB was raped and sexually assaulted in both foster homes.

- In addition to the sexual assaults, MB was also physically and emotionally abused while in the foster homes.
- 18. The Defendants, failed to monitor and/or supervise the foster homes that led to the abuse, assault and damages to MB.
- 19. The defendants conduct as stated herein was a violation of MB's Civil Rights as afforded and protected by the United States Constitution, Pennsylvania Constitution and /or federal, state, and/or local laws and regulations.
- 20. The defendants' conduct, as stated herein, was a state-created danger causing MB to be deprived of her Civil Rights as afforded and protected by the United States Constitution, Pennsylvania Constitution and /or federal, state, and/or local laws and regulations.
- Defendants had a policy or custom that caused the aforesaid violations by the defendants that directly caused the state created danger.
- 22. Moreover, the defendant had a policy or custom that caused MB to be deprived of her Civil Rights afforded and protected by the United States Constitution, Pennsylvania Constitution and /or federal, state, and/or local laws and regulations.
- 23. As a result of the Defendant actions stated herein, MB sustained serious and painful injuries and mental anguish, the extent of which are not fully known.
- 24. As a result Defendants' actions, MB has been and may in the future be required to spend considerable sums of money for medical treatment in an effort to treat and cure herself of her injuries, any and all of which may continue to her great financial loss and detriment.
- 25. Further, MB has suffered a loss and diminution of her ability to enjoy the pleasures of life to her great detriment and loss.

26. The actions of Defendants as set forth above were intentional, willful, malicious, wanton, reckless, oppressive, and/or outrageous entitling MB to an award of punitive damages.

COUNT I Plaintiff vs. Defendants 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983

- Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the preceding paragraphs as if set forth herein.
- The Defendants, acted, at all times material hereto, through its agents and employees.
- At all times material hereto, defendants were "persons" under 42 U.S.C.A. §
- At all times material hereto, defendants were acting under color of state law under
 U.S.C.A § 1983.
- At all times material hereto, the City of Philadelphia and/or Delaware County established formal procedures or a working relationship with PSI giving PSI official governmental powers.
- 32. Defendants, while acting under color of state law, unlawfully, intentionally, unreasonably maliciously, with deliberate indifference and /or with reckless indifference to MB's rights violated 42 U.S.C.A § 1983 and deprived her of her rights guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States, Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania causing the aforementioned injuries by acting as follows:
 - Having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in their failure to investigate the suitability and fitness of MB's foster home(s);
 - Having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in their failure to maintain proper and reasonable supervision and inspection of the foster home(s) where MB was

placed;

- Having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in the placement of MB in a foster home(s) when they knew or should have known that the foster home(s) was unfit and improper for MB's placement therein;
- Having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in their failure to properly train and/or establish proper training procedures for their employees and agents;
- Having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in their failure to exercise proper care and supervision over the foster home(s) and/or failing to establish proper monitoring and supervision policies for their employees and agents;
- f. having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in their failure to devise and implement effective procedure protocol techniques methods and means for assessing the propriety of placement such as MB in the foster home(s);
- g. Having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in the failure to devise and implement effective procedures protocol and techniques and methods and means for ongoing supervision of placement of MB in the foster home;
- Having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in their failure to maintain and support policies and procedures to follow and or enforce federal state and local rules statutes and regulations regarding the placement of persons such as MB in foster homes;
- Having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in the failure to adequately train manage and or supervise their agents, employees, ostensible agents, apparent agents, to ensure a reasonable standard of care for the health safety and welfare of MB and persons similarly situated;
- Having a pattern, custom or policy that resulted in their failure to have policies and procedure to ensure that MB and persons similarly situated would not be injured through sexual, physical and emotional abuse;
- k. Having a pattern, custom or practice that resulted in their failure to investigate other persons in the foster home(s) that caused harm to MB through sexual, physical and emotional abuse.
- 33. The harm and damages cause to MB was a foreseeable and direct consequences of the actions and omissions of defendants as described above.

Case 2:07-cv-00075-LP Document 1 Filed 01/05/07 Page 12 of 13

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully demand the following relief against Defendants:

- (a) compensatory damages in excess of the jurisdictional limits of Arbitration;
- (b) punitive damages;
- (c) pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest, court costs and fees; and
- (d) other further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II Plaintiffs vs. PSI Services, Inc. Negligence

- Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the preceding paragraphs as if set forth herein.
- 35. PSI, had the duty and authority to observe and supervise the placement of MB into the foster home(s) to ensure that she was safe.
- 36. PSI was negligent careless and unreasonable in the performance of their duties and responsibilities as follows:
 - failing to investigate the suitability and fitness of MB's foster home(s);
 - failing to maintain proper and reasonable supervision and inspection of the foster home(s) where MB was placed;
 - c. placing MB in a foster home(s) when they knew or should have known that the foster home(s) was unfit and improper for MB placement therein.
 - failing to properly train and/or establish proper training procedures for their employees and agents.
 - failing to exercise proper care and supervision over the foster home(s)
 and/or failing to establish proper monitoring and supervision policies for
 their employees and agents.
 - f. failing to devise and implement effective procedure protocol techniques methods and means for assessing the propriety of placement such as MB

in the foster home(s).

- g. failing to devise and implement effective procedures protocol and techniques and methods and means for ongoing supervision of placement of MB in the foster home.
- h. failing to maintain and support policies and procedures to follow and or enforce federal state and local rules statutes and regulations regarding the placement of persons such as MB in foster homes.
- failing to adequately train manage and or supervise their agents, employees, ostensible agents, apparent agents to ensure a reasonable standard of care for the health safety and welfare of MB and persons similarly situated.
- failing to have policies and procedure to ensure that MB and persons similarly situated would not be injured through sexual, physical and emotional abuse.
- k. failing to investigate other persons in the foster home(s), including but not limited to the failure to conduct proper background checks, that caused harm to MB through sexual, physical and emotional abuse.
- failing to investigate and determine who was living in the foster homes.
- 37. The harm and damages cause to MB was a foreseeable and direct consequences of the actions and omissions of defendants as described above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully demand the following relief against Defendants:

- (a) compensatory damages in excess of the jurisdictional limits of Arbitration.
- (b) punitive damages,
- (c) pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest, court costs and fees, and
- (d) other further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

MICHAEL D. SHAFFER, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Plaintiffs